RENO

MINUTES

Regular Meeting

Reno City Council

Wednesday, May 14, 2014 • 12:00 PM

Reno City Council Chamber, One East First Street, Reno, NV 89501

Robert A. Cashell, Sr., Mayor

Council Members:

Ward 1 – Jenny Brekhus Ward 4 – Dwight Dortch Ward 2 – Sharon Zadra Ward 5 – Neoma Jardon Ward 3 – Oscar Delgado At-Large – Hillary Schieve

A Introductory Items

- A.1 Pledge of Allegiance
- A.2 Observance of a Moment of Silence
- A.3 Roll Call

Attendee Name	Title	Status	Arrived
Robert Cashell	Mayor	Present	
Jenny Brekhus	Council Member	Present	
Sharon Zadra	Council Member	Present	
Oscar Delgado	Council Member	Present	
Dwight Dortch	Vice Mayor	Present	
Neoma Jardon	Council Member	Present	
Hillary Schieve	Council Member	Present	
Andrew Clinger	City Manager	Present	

The meeting was called to order at 12:11 PM.

A.4 Public Comment

Ronald E. Magee, 550 North McCarran Boulevard #245, discussed several issues.

Donna Clontz, 1940 Daylin Court, requested support for the proposed donations to senior services (see Item H.1).

Dick Bartholet, 2670 Burr Court, representing the Regional Alliance for Downtown (RAD), provided an update on their activities.

Wayne Alexander, 2464 Blossom View Drive, Sparks, thanked the Council for their continued support of senior programs.

Billy Howard, 1515 Sutterbrook Way, provided an update on the puppy-mill free initiative.

Mark Markel, 48 Park Street, discussed the dangers of drinking and driving.

Sam Dehne, Reno resident, presented his views on several subjects.

Vanessa Dixon, no address provided, presented a Public Comment Form in support of the proposed donation to the State Health Insurance Assistance Program.

Gary Whitfield, 6146 Carriage House Way, member of the Washoe County Senior Advisory Board, presented a Public Comment Form in support to the City's senior programs.

Dr. Larry Weiss, 11 Fillmore Way, presented a Public Comment Form, but did not speak.

A.5 City Manager Announcements (Item for general announcements and informational items only. No deliberation or action will be taken on this item.)

City Manager Clinger made several announcements.

A.6 Approval of the Agenda (For Possible Action) - May 14, 2014.

The agenda was approved with Items E.3 and J.2 withdrawn.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member
SECONDER: Neoma Jardon, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

A.7 Approval of Minutes (For Possible Action)

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Jenny Brekhus, Council Member

SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

A.7.1 Motion: February 10, 2014 Concurrent Meeting of the Reno City Council, Sparks City Council, Washoe County Commission, and Washoe County District Board of Health. 1:01 PM

City Council - Concurrent - Feb 10, 2014 8:30 AM

A.7.2 Motion: April 30, 2014 Reno City Council Meeting. 1:02 PM

City Council - Regular - Apr 30, 2014 12:00 PM

B Cash Disbursements

B.1 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Acceptance of Cash Disbursements Reports for April 13, 2014 through April 26, 2014. 1:02 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends to accept the attached Cash Disbursements reports.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Neoma Jardon, Council Member
SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

C Proclamations

C.1 Proclamation declaring the month of May 2014 as National Bike and Bicycle Safety Month and the week of May 10-26, 2014 as Bike to Work, School and Fun Week - Officer MJ Cloud, Safe Routes to School Coordinator, Washoe County School District - Police Department. 1:02 PM

Council Member Brekhus, on behalf of Mayor Robert A. Cashell, Sr., and the City of Reno, proclaimed the month of May 2014 as National Bike and Bicycle Safety Month.

C.2 Proclamation declaring the week of May 18-24, 2014, as National Public Works Week - John Flansberg, Director, Public Works. 1:08 PM

Council Member Delgado, on behalf of Mayor Robert A. Cashell, Sr., and the City of Reno, proclaimed the week of May 18-24, 2014 as National Public Works Week.

C.3 Proclamation declaring the week of May 18, 2014 as Emergency Medical Services Week - Jim Gubbels, President/CEO REMSA. 1:12 PM

Council Member Zadra, on behalf of Mayor Robert A. Cashell, Sr., and the City of Reno, proclaimed the week of May 18, 2014 as Emergency Medical Services Week.

C.4 Proclamation declaring the month of May 2014 as Nevada Wildfire Awareness Month - Michael Hernandez, Chief, Reno Fire Department. 1:15 PM

Council Member Schieve, on behalf of Mayor Robert A. Cashell, Sr., and the City of Reno, proclaimed the month of May 2014 as Nevada Wildfire Awareness Month.

D Presentations

D.1 Economic Development Update - Noah Silverman, Co-Founder and Executive Director, Reno Bike Project. 1:20 PM

Noah Silverman, Co-Founder and Executive Director of the Reno Bike Project, provided the update.

D.2 Northeast Connector Project (Pyramid Highway/US 395) - Doug Maloy, Project
 Manager, Regional Transportation Commission. 1:23 PM

Doug Maloy, Project Manager for the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC), presented the report.

D.3 Artown 2014 - Beth Macmillan, Executive Director, Artown. 1:51 PM Beth Macmillan, Executive Director of Artown, made the presentation.

E Consent Agenda

Items E.1 through E.14 were approved with Item E.3 withdrawn and Items E.2, E.11, E.12 and E.14 pulled for discussion.

E.1 Approval of Privileged Business Licenses

E.1.a New - Alcohol

1. Un Cork D Eatery at Midtown, Jesus Gutierrez, 760 South Virginia Street - Dining Room Alcohol (For Possible Action) [Ward 3]

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member

SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

2. Tournant Pop Up, Benny Joe Deinken, 1890 West Sixth Street - Alcohol Catering (For Possible Action) [Ward 5]

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member

SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.1.b New - Privileged

1. Nevada Oro, Hugo Figueroa, 1815 Clearacre Lane - Secondhand Merchandise (For Possible Action) [Ward 3]

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member

SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

2. Hapgoods, Aaryn Walker, 3368 Lakeside Court - Secondhand Merchandise (For Possible Action) [Ward 2]

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member

SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

3. Green Planet 21, Inc., Yvessa Aviles, 630 Spice Island Drive, Suite D - Secondhand Merchandise (For Possible Action) [Not in City]

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member
SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.1.c Change of Ownership - Cabaret

1. Hellfire Saloon, David R. Heffern, 9825 South Virginia Street - Cabaret (For Possible Action) [Ward 2]

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member
SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.1.d Supplemental - Alcohol

1. Napa Sonoma Trading Company, LeRoy Dean Aday, 4792 Longley Lane - Wholesale Liquor (For Possible Action) [Ward 3]

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member
SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.2 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Case No. LDC10-00030 (Evans Ranch PUD Amendment) - Certification of the amended Development Handbook for the Evans Ranch PUD (Planned Unit Development). [Ward 4] 12:42 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends City Council certify the amendments to the Evans Ranch PUD Development Handbook.

Proposed Motion: I move to certify the amendments to the Evans Ranch PUD Development Handbook.

THIS ITEM WAS PULLED FOR DISCUSSION.

Council Member Brekhus requested that staff explain what this action involves, and identify in what part of the valley the proposed new community is located.

Vern Kloos, Community Development Senior Planner, identified on an overhead map the

Evans Ranch Planned Unit Development (PUD) and the 40-acre piece the applicant is adding.

Council Member Brekhus said that she is trying to understand where the City boundaries lie and where the nearest fire station is located, and asked if the Stead Fire Station will respond to the area.

Mr. Kloos replied that the Stead Fire Station will respond to calls for service to the area.

Council Member Brekhus asked staff to show the location of the Stead Fire Station on the map, and Mr. Kloos identified the Stead Fire Station on the overhead map.

Council Member Brekhus asked how many miles it is from the Stead Fire Station to the project, how the Fire Department will get from the Stead Fire Station up to this new community, and how many units the project includes.

Mr. Kloos said that the project includes +/-5,600 units.

Council Member Brekhus asked if that (the number of units) is comparable to Somersett.

Mr. Kloos said that the number of units is probably about a third larger than Somersett (5,556 units plus 123 units). In response to the question regarding access to the new community, Mr. Kloos said that they will go out Echo Avenue, the applicant will build an extension of Echo Avenue into the Silver Knolls Subdivision that will connect to Red Rock Road, and then go up to the entrance to the development (a road built by the developer).

Mayor Cashell asked if the developer is going to build a fire station.

Mr. Kloos said that with the 1,700th unit the developer will build a fire station within the project. Basically, he said, for the first third or so of the project, fire service will be provided from the Stead Fire Station, and with this amendment that roadway is part of the agreement and requirements of the project.

COUNCIL MEMBER SCHIEVE ABSENT AT 12:47 P.M.

Council Member Brekhus said that it was made able to annex because a little corner touched the City, but now this revision involves generating the traffic through Silver Knolls, and Military Road is proposed to be expanded.

Mr. Kloos said that Red Rock Road, not Military Road, will be expanded. He said that the only extension through Silver Knolls is the fire access road, the rest of it is up Red Rock Road, as it was originally proposed, all the widening and traffic improvements are based on the original approval, less than 2% more units are being added to the original project, and all of those standards and requirements are contained in Exhibit B and have been modified to reflect the increased numbers.

Council Member Brekhus noted that Silver Knolls is an unincorporated community, and asked if the right-of-way through there has been reserved.

Mr. Kloos replied that the applicant owns the property through which the road would be extended on Echo Avenue to the street in Silver Knolls, and then they would go through Silver Knolls, connect to Red Rock Road, and then go up Red Rock Road.

Council Member Brekhus asked if it will be up to the County's permitting process to permit and accept this new road and the improvements up Red Rock Road in these unincorporated lands if the City of Reno does not annex Silver Knolls by then.

Mr. Kloos said that because Red Rock Road provides the primary access to the property, all of the improvements related to expanding the road are in the PUD requirements and, as they progress through building certain numbers of units, the applicant has to re-address the traffic impact numbers and make improvements to the road as necessary.

Council Member Brekhus asked whether Washoe County is a party to this agreement, if the County approves of letting the developer build a road through the Silver Knolls neighborhood, and if the County agrees that they want to prioritize Red Rock Road as an arterial road.

Mr. Kloos said that the project is on the Regional Transportation Commission's (RTC's) regional road program, and the applicant will have to enter into an agreement with RTC to make the requisite improvements based on the number of units built (e.g., 25%, 50%, 75%, 90% build-out).

Council Member Brekhus asked if the Silver Knolls residents received notice of today's public hearing, and Mr. Kloos said that he did not believe the Silver Knolls residents were within the 750-foot noticing range.

Council Member Brekhus questioned whether the Silver Knolls residents should have received notices since the new road will go through the subdivision, and asked if this is a Project of Regional Significance.

Mr. Kloos said that the project was considered a Project of Regional Significance when it was originally approved.

Council Member Brekhus questioned whether it was going up to Regional at this time, and Mr. Kloos explained that the project was approved as a Project of Regional

Significance in 2008, they are only requesting to increase the number of units by less than 2%, and they would have to request an increase of over 10% to re-trigger the Project of Regional Significance requirement.

Mr. Kloos said that all the water, sewer and traffic issues were reviewed when this project went to the Regional Planning Commission.

Council Member Brekhus said that she saw some substantive revisions that involve traffic impacts and impact unincorporated neighborhoods. She referred to the public facility agreement with the City for a fire station and a police department (if we have impact fees), and asked if a public facility agreement is the same as a development agreement.

Mayor Cashell said that this question was addressed at a previous meeting.

Mr. Kloos explained that a facilities agreement is an agreement to provide certain aspects of fire protection; i.e., a site, facilities, equipment, and the timing thereof. He said that it can be done that way (using that method) or, with this amendment, they are allowed to do it through a development agreement if they so choose.

Council Member Brekhus asked if it tilts in favor of the City or in favor of the developer's vested rights if anything in the agreement goes away, such as the front-loading agreement for impact fees, or this facility agreement is seen as an instrument not recognized by Statute as a power the City has. She said that she heard that a lot of this revision is because of the fire 'divorce', and now it is on the City's onus to stretch their responses to serve this area.

Mr. Kloos asked Ms. Brekhus to restate her question.

Council Member Brekhus asked how it would impact their vested rights if in the future some things go away - some of the instruments that we are basing this upon, whether financing or road impact fees or this fire agreement. If it says you have to enter into a fire agreement and there is no such thing as a fire agreement, or you have to have regional ...

Mr. Kloos said that all of these were already requirements of the PUD, and whether or not this amendment is approved, all of those aspects were contained in the original approval. All we are really doing with this, one of the aspects we are accomplishing with this, is improving the fire access and response to the site based on de-consolidation and the fact that we do not have the fire station in Cold Springs. What this does, he continued, is make the situation better than it is based on the result of de-consolidation.

Council Member Brekhus said that staff made this sound like a simple thing, and is

actually a commitment to 5,000 residential units, a commitment to serve these residential units in an area far outside the reaches of the City's current services. She noted that there are a number of implementing tools (e.g., agreements, financing mechanisms, etc.) that need to be in place, and questioned what would happen if some of these did not exist (i.e., there is not the authority for them). She asked if the City would have to then enter into a new agreement, make something up, or let it slide.

Mr. Kloos said that it is a requirement of the PUD, and for the developer to move forward with building the project, he has to comply with the requirements. If he does not, he said, it stops. Mr. Kloos also said that there are provisions in both the public safety agreement and the parks agreement that if the developer does do not follow through on anything, the City can stop issuing building permits and the developer then has 15 days to redress the deficiencies.

Council Member Brekhus said, but they have to enter into this agreement; those are not agreed to at this point.

Mayor Cashell reiterated that if the developer does not do what he is supposed to do, it kills it.

Council Member Brekhus stated "Or if the City refuses to enter into these agreements at a later date because it is out of our capacity to serve."

Council Member Dortch stated that he did not believe the City could refuse to enter into these at a future date because they had already agreed to them.

Council Member Brekhus said "No, we agree that there will be a mechanism if we reach agreement; if a future Council reaches agreement on these other implementing steps, then it goes forward."

Council Member Dortch said that all of these same questions were asked the last time this was before the Council (January 2014), and the same answers were being provided today as they were then. We approved it then, he said, and all we are doing is certifying the PUD Handbook today.

Council Member Brekhus and Mr. Kloos discussed the fact that the project was approved in 2008.

Council Member Brekhus stated that this is a fundamental development mistake. She said that the City is taking on a lot of liability to extend this far out through unincorporated lands, is bringing on a huge liability when they have 22,000 lots of some form of development approval or readiness already, it is very premature development and probably a poster child for classic suburban sprawl, and she will be opposing this.

The Council certified the amendments to the Evans Ranch Planned Unit Development (PUD) Handbook.

RESULT: APPROVED [5 TO 1]

MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor

SECONDER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon

NAYS: Jenny Brekhus ABSENT: Hillary Schieve

E.3 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Approval of First Amendment and Restatement of Lease Agreement with Berry Hinckley Industries, for the use of certain premises located on West Fourth Street commonly known as Assessor Parcel #010-610-04; and approval of Assignment and Assumption of Lease between Berry Hinckley Industries and Kewal S. Baines. This item was continued from the February 26, 2014 Reno City Council Meeting. 12:38 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends Council approve the First Amendment and Restatement of Lease Agreement with Berry Hinckley Industries and the Assignment and Assumption of Lease between Berry Hinckley Industries and Kewal S. Baines and authorize the Mayor to sign.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

RESULT: WITHDRAWN

E.4 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Award of Contract to Geney/Gassiot, Inc., for the Truckee Meadows Water Reclamation Facility (TMWRF) Septage Receiving Facility Project Rebid in an amount not to exceed \$2,091,200 with the City of Reno's share being \$1,435,190.56 (Sewer Enterprise Fund). 12:38 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends award of contract for the TMWRF Septage Receiving Facility Project Rebid to Geney/Gassiot, Inc. (GGI), in an amount not to exceed \$2,091,200, and authorize the Mayor to sign the contract.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member

SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.5 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Approval of Consulting Agreement for construction engineering and construction administration services with Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. for the Truckee Meadows Water Reclamation Facility (TMWRF) Septage Receiving Facility Project in an amount not to exceed \$298,600, with the City of Reno's portion being \$204,929.18 (Sewer Enterprise Fund). 12:38 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends Council approve the Agreement for construction management services with Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. for services as described in the attached proposal in an amount not to exceed \$298,600 (Reno's share being \$204,929.18 from the Sewer Enterprise Fund) and authorize the Mayor to execute the attached Agreement.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member

SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.6 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Award of Contract to Custom Painting and Decorating, Inc. to paint the exterior of the National Bowling Stadium in an amount not to exceed \$154,000 (\$2 Capital Projects Room Tax Surcharge). 12:38 PM

Recommendation: The use of the proceeds of the surcharge must be approved by a two-thirds majority vote of the City Council. The City Council's Capital Projects Surcharge Advisory Subcommittee (Subcommittee) recommends Council approval of the award of contract to Custom Painting and Decorating, Inc. in an amount not to exceed \$154,000 to paint the exterior of the National Bowling Stadium (NBS) and authorization for the Mayor to sign.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member

SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.7 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Approval of payment for repainting at the Reno-Sparks Livestock Events Center in an amount not to exceed \$125,000 (\$2 Capital Projects Room Tax Surcharge). 12:38 PM

Recommendation: The use of the proceeds of the surcharge must be approved by a two-thirds majority vote of the City Council. The City Council's Capital Projects Surcharge Advisory Subcommittee (Subcommittee) recommends Council approval of a payment to the Reno Sparks Visitors and Convention Authority in an amount not to exceed \$125,000 for painting at the Reno-Sparks Livestock Events

Center.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member
SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.8 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Approval of payment for seats and replacement of seats at the Reno-Sparks Livestock Events Center in an amount not to exceed \$374,266 (\$2 Capital Projects Room Tax Surcharge). 12:38 PM

Recommendation: The use of the proceeds of the surcharge must be approved by a two-thirds majority vote of the City Council. The City Council's Capital Projects Surcharge Advisory Subcommittee (Subcommittee) recommends Council approval of a payment to the Reno-Sparks Visitors and Convention Authority in an amount not to exceed \$374,266 for seats and the replacement of seats at the Reno-Sparks

Livestock

Events

Center.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member

SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.9 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Approval of Third Extension of the Lease Agreement between the Harry and Susan Sperber Family Revocable Trust and the City of Reno for the building and land located at 315 Edison Way (Fire Fleet Maintenance Shop), Reno, Nevada (General Fund). 12:38 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends Council approve the extension of the lease for an additional one (1) year term and authorize the Mayor to execute the necessary documents.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member

SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.10 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Approval of Amendment No. 1 to Short-Term Lease Agreement (Rosewood Lakes Golf Course) to reimburse City for electrical power generated by the photovoltaic energy conservation fixtures located on the golf course. 12:38 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends City Council approve Amendment No. 1 to Short-Term Lease Agreement (Rosewood Lakes Golf Course) to reimburse the City for electrical power generated from the photovoltaic energy conservation fixtures located on the golf course.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member
SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.11 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Approval of Amendment No. 1 to Agreement for Allocation of Catalyst Funds (Lincoln Cutting) to limit City liability in the event a court of competent jurisdiction declares the Catalyst Fund Program unconstitutional. 12:54 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends City Council approve Amendment No. 1 to Agreement for Allocation of Catalyst Funds (Lincoln Cutting).

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

THIS ITEM WAS PULLED FOR DISCUSSION.

Council Member Brekhus and Jonathan Shipman, Deputy City Attorney, discussed details of the proposed amendment.

Council Member Brekhus said that she would not support the agreement because it is not Constitutional for the City to engage in this.

The Council upheld the staff recommendation.

RESULT: APPROVED [5 TO 1]

MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member

SECONDER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor

AYES: Cashell, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon

NAYS: Jenny Brekhus ABSENT: Hillary Schieve

E.12 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Approval of Amendment No. 1 to Catalyst Fund Reimbursement Agreement (Garlock Printing) to revise the term and reimbursement schedule. 12:56 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends City Council approve Amendment No. 1 to Catalyst Fund Reimbursement Agreement (Garlock Printing).

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

THIS ITEM WAS PULLED FOR DISCUSSION.

The Council upheld the staff recommendation.

RESULT: APPROVED [5 TO 1]

MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor

SECONDER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon

NAYS: Jenny Brekhus ABSENT: Hillary Schieve

E.13 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Ratification of amounts already paid to Advantage Group for services rendered in the total amount of \$62,637.64 pursuant to the following invoices: Invoice No. 356 (Check No. 206548) in amount of \$10,805.82 paid on 10/15/12; Invoice No. 511 (Check No. 208935) in the amount of \$21,054.15 paid on 12/21/12; Invoice No. 731 (Check No. 214323) in the amount of \$28,827.67 paid on 06/21/13; and Invoice No. 845 (Check No. 217691) in the amount of \$1,950.00 paid on 09/06/13. 12:38 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends Council ratify the amounts already paid to Advantage Group for services rendered in the total amount of \$62,637.64 pursuant to the following invoices: Invoice No. 356 (Check No. 206548) in amount of \$10,805.82 paid on 10/05/12; Invoice No. 511 (Check No. 208935) in the amount of \$21,054.15 paid on 12/21/12; Invoice No. 731 (Check No. 214323) in the amount of \$28,827.67 paid on 06/21/13; Invoice No. 845 (Check No. 217691) in the amount of \$1,950.00 paid on 09/06/13.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member

SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.14 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Approval of Amendment No. 1 to Lawyer-Client Agreement for compensation of special counsel to add Peiffer Rosca Abdullah Carr & Kane, LLC, et al., as a party (Goldman Sachs Auction Rate Securities Litigation). 12:57 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends City Council approve the Amendment No. 1 to Lawyer-Client Agreement for compensation of special counsel to add Peiffer Rosca Abdullah Carr & Kane, LLC, et al., as a party to the City's case against Goldman Sachs relating to auction rate securities litigation.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

THIS ITEM WAS PULLED FOR DISCUSSION.

COUNCIL MEMBER SCHIEVE PRESENT AT 12:57 P.M.

Council Member Brekhus and Jonathan Shipman, Deputy City Attorney, discussed details of the proposed amendment, and Goldman Sachs litigation issues.

The Council upheld the staff recommendation.

RESULT:APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]MOVER:Jenny Brekhus, Council MemberSECONDER:Neoma Jardon, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

- F Public Hearings 12:15 PM None.
- **G** Ordinances Adoption
 - G.1 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Ordinance Adoption Bill No. 6901 Case No. TXT14-00006 (Discretionary Review Exemption for Certain Accessory Solar Projects) Ordinance amending Reno Municipal Code Title 18 "Annexation and Land Development," Chapters 18.06 and 18.08 in order to allow accessory solar structures without discretionary review, together with other matters properly relating thereto. [All Wards] 1:59 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends Council adoption of Ordinance No.

Proposed Motion: I move to adopt Ordinance No. _____.

Bill No. 6901, Ordinance No. 6332 was passed and adopted.

RESULT: ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor

SECONDER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

H Resolutions

H.1 Resolution No. 7971: Staff Report (For Possible Action): Resolution No.

______ Resolution granting \$1,443 to the Food Bank of Northern Nevada, \$1,000 to the Sanford Center for Aging, \$2,000 to the State Health Insurance Assistance Program, \$1,000 to the Alzheimer's Association, \$1,000 to the Healthy Communities Coalition, and \$4,000 to the Center for Healthy Aging, which are all nonprofit organizations that provide senior adult outreach programs and services to promote the health and wellness of senior adults in our community with the outcome of maintaining their independence and self-reliance, for a total amount of \$10,443 (General Fund). 2:00 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends Council adopt Resolution No. _____.

Proposed Motion: I move to adopt Resolution No. _____.

VICE MAYOR DORTCH PRESIDED SO THAT MAYOR CASHELL COULD VOTE TO ADOPT THE RESOLUTION.

Resolution No. 7971 was adopted.

RESULT: ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Robert Cashell, Mayor

Robert Cashen, Mayor

SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

H.2 **Resolution No. 7972**: Staff Report (For Possible Action): Resolution No.

______ Resolution supporting continued operations at the U.S. Postal Service centers in Reno and urging Congress to address any fiscal challenges facing the U.S. Postal Service with an awareness of and sensitivity to how proposed reforms will affect U.S. Postal Service employees. 2:01 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends Council adopt Resolution No. _____ and authorize the Mayor to sign a letter of support to Northern Nevada's Congressional delegation for the resolution.

Proposed Motion: I move to adopt Resolution No. _____ and authorize the Mayor to sign a letter of support to Northern Nevada's Congressional delegation for the resolution.

Resolution No. 7972 was adopted.

RESULT: ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member

SECONDER: Neoma Jardon, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

H.3 **Resolution No. 7973**: Staff Report (For Possible Action): Resolution No.

______ Resolution approving a grant to Truckee Meadows Community College (TMCC) for costs associated with producing the 1st annual Biggest Little Street Faire, including direct City services, in the amount of \$5,500 (General Fund). 2:01 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends Council adopt Resolution No. ______ Approving a grant in the amount of \$5,500 to Truckee Meadows Community College (TMCC) for costs associated with producing the 1st annual Biggest Little Street Faire, including direct City services, in the amount of \$5,500.

Proposed Motion: I move to adopt Resolution No. _____.

Tracy Chase, Chief Deputy City Attorney, noted that the documents should be corrected to reflect that the funds are going to the Truckee Meadows Community College (TMCC) Foundation.

Council Member Zadra stated that the grant was being made with monies from her Council Discretionary Fund.

Resolution No. 7973 was adopted as amended.

RESULT: ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member

SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

I Ordinances - Introduction

I.1	Staff Report (For Possible Action): Ordinance Introduction - Bill No.
	Ordinance to amend Title 2 of the Reno Municipal Code establishing appointive
	officer and appointive employee positions by amending Chapter 2.06.030, relating
	to the designation of Appointive Officers; adding Section 2.06.035 designating
	"Appointive Employees;" amending Section 2.06.050 relating to the
	Qualifications of Appointive Officers and Appointive Employees; adding Section
	2.06.055, relating to Existing and Successor Appointees; and amending Section
	2.14.020, relating to Salary Administration, together with other matters properly
	relating thereto. 2:02 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends Council refer Bill No. _____ for a second reading and adoption.

Proposed Motion: I move to refer Bill No. ______ for a second reading and adoption.

Council Member Brekhus asked what the City Charter says about these appointive officers.

COUNCIL MEMBERS SCHIEVE AND ZADRA ABSENT AT 2:05 P.M.

Renee Rungis, Human Resources Director, said that the appointive officers are the head of a department or division within the City.

COUNCIL MEMBER ZADRA PRESENT AT 2:08 P.M.

COUNCIL MEMBER DELGADO ABSENT AT 2:11 P.M.

COUNCIL MEMBER JARDON PRESENT AT 2:11 P.M.

Discussion ensued regarding the chain of command for the appointive officers; whether some of them (e.g., Deputy Public Works Director, Environmental Programs Manager, etc.) report directly to the City Manager (no, they report to the respective department head who reports to the City Manager), and whether this conforms to the Charter; whether the position of Graphic Designer should be struck from the ordinance; whether the Council should wait until the Charter Commission makes their recommendations; and other details of the proposed amendments.

The Council referred Bill No. 6902 for a second reading and adoption.

RESULT: FIRST READ [4 TO 1]

MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor

SECONDER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member

AYES: Robert Cashell, Sharon Zadra, Dwight Dortch, Neoma Jardon

NAYS: Jenny Brekhus

ABSENT: Oscar Delgado, Hillary Schieve

J Standard Department Items

Finance & Administration

J.1 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Discussion and potential direction to staff regarding the salary, benefits, and retirement compensation for City of Reno elected officials, including the Reno City Council, the City Attorney, and Municipal Judges. 2:16 PM

Recommendation: Staff has segregated the salary and benefits into sections and possible options and is seeking direction from Council on any action for salary and benefits of elected officials.

City	Atto	orney	Salary	a	nd	Benefits
Mayor	and	City	Council	Salary	and	Benefits
Municipa	ıl		Court			Judges
Payment		in	Lieu		of	PERS

Proposed Motion: I move to direct staff to perform the following actions:

COUNCIL MEMBERS DELGADO AND SCHIEVE PRESENT AT 2:17 P.M.

Robert Chisel, Director of Finance and Administration, provided an overview of the Staff Report.

Mayor Cashell discussed his objection to paying someone who already retired and is collecting Public Employee Retirement System (PERS) benefits additional PERS benefits as an elected official. He said that he knew it was legal to do so, but it was not right.

John Kadlic, City Attorney, said that an individual that retires and begins collecting PERS benefits is probably of retirement age, and it might be considered age discrimination to treat a retired individual who comes back as an elected

official any differently in terms of salary and benefits than someone who had not retired out of PERS. Mr. Kadlic stated that he did not know the answer to this legal question and it would not affect him personally, but he thought the issue should be researched before any action that might result in litigation is taken.

Council Member Dortch discussed his concern that someone, regardless of their qualifications, might make it a campaign issue by saying that they can save the City \$50,000 a year because they will not receive extra PERS benefits that a candidate who has already retired from PERS will receive. By offering different salary and benefits packages, he said, you will not be treating those two candidates equally.

Mayor Cashell agreed that both City Attorney Kadlic and Council Member Dortch raised valid concerns.

Council Member Jardon and Mr. Chisel discussed the possibility that someone could retire out of PERS and not be of retirement age.

Mayor Cashell asked if it could be considered discriminatory if the issue was spelled out in advance, and Mr. Chisel said that it was at the Council's discretion because the legislation was enabling rather than mandatory. Mayor Cashell said that he did not believe it would be discriminatory if you told the candidates up front what the rules to run for that office were. He also said that the public sees the practice as another way to double or triple dip in a program, and noted that the Chief of Police did not collect extra PERS benefits when he returned to duty after he retired from City employment.

Council Member Dortch stated that while he certainly appreciates what the Chief of Police has done [by not claiming additional PERS benefits], Chief of Police is not an elected position, and the issue regarding equal treatment for candidates still applies.

Discussion ensued regarding the Council's lack of authority under the Charter to change an elected official's salary and benefits; the fact that PERS benefits are based on salary; the need to create a resolution if the Council decides they do not want to provide that benefit to future elected officials; how taking that action could potentially impact current candidates; and whether a candidate might also claim he or she would give up other benefits or salary in order to gain an advantage in an election.

MAYOR CASHELL ASKED VICE MAYOR DORTCH TO PRESIDE SO THAT HE COULD MAKE A MOTION.

It was moved by Council Member Brekhus, seconded by Mayor Cashell to direct staff to bring back a resolution that a Public Employee Retirement System recipient who obtains office in whatever branch will not receive a revised benefit in lieu of.

Motion failed with Council Members Zadra, Delgado and Schieve and Vice Mayor Dortch voting nay.

Council Member Brekhus and Mr. Chisel discussed the salaries and benefits of judges and Justices of the Peace. Ms. Brekhus questioned their need for car and cell phone allowances, and Mr. Chisel noted that they have not received a raise or change in pay since July 2008.

Council Member Brekhus recommended removal of the salary escalator provision, and an across the board 7.5% reduction in salary for the City Council.

Mayor Cashell said that \$70,000 is not an exorbitant salary, and provides a reasonable incentive to those who wish to run for office. He stated that serving on the City Council is not, as some believe, a part time job, and suggested that Council Members can always voluntarily give up their car and/or cell phone allowances if they can afford to do so.

Tracy Chase, Chief Deputy City Attorney, noted that judges need cell phones because they are on call 24 hours a day, seven days a week.

Mayor Cashell said that the judges should get their car and cell phone allowances back since they have not received a salary increase since 2008.

Council Member Brekhus stated that she opposed voluntary give backs. She said that rules should be rules across the board and agreed upon.

Council Member Jardon suggested that the Council Members could be giving back less if a flat percentage reduction rate was used.

Council Member Schieve said that people in the private sector do not get car and cell phone allowances, and the Council should lead by example since they are laying off people and asking others to take pay cuts.

Council Member Delgado said that, as elected officials, the Council Members understand the amount of work they do and the politics behind give backs. He said that voluntary give backs allow the Council to have those conversations with the City's labor groups because the Council Members are actually doing it themselves. As individuals we can decide how much we can afford to give back,

he said, and a flat percentage rate results in people telling other people what they can afford to do. Mr. Delgado said that the Council's due diligence is to sit down during the budget hearings to see what give backs have been made and determine what is fair, and suggested that maintaining the status quo is the way to go about doing that.

Council Member Brekhus stated that the Council is a body that acts as one uniformly and makes decisions as a whole. She questioned whether there was support for repealing the escalator if there is no support for reducing the Council Members' salary.

Mayor Cashell said that the cost of living increase helps cover yearly increases in expenses, and reiterated his preference for the voluntary method of providing give-backs.

Council Member Jardon sated that the decision will affect the next Council, and their financial situations are unknown. She stated that last year the Council, as a body, collectively gave back three times the cost of living increase, which was a mechanism far more beneficial to the taxpayers than elimination of the escalator provision.

Discussion ensued regarding the voluntary 7.5% salary reduction taken by management and unrepresented employees in 2009-2010, and the ability of the Council to create a formula tying one group's salary to another group's salary.

The Council agreed to maintain the status quo.

RESULT: NO ACTION TAKEN

City Manager's Office

J.2 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Discussion and potential approval of allocation from the City's Stabilization Fund to Police Department Fund in the amount of \$112,500, in connection with approved settlement agreement in City of Reno v. Evans case (General Fund).

Recommendation: Staff recommends approval of the allocation from the City's Stabilization Fund to the Police Department Fund in the amount of \$112,500.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

RESULT: WITHDRAWN

J.3 Update, discussion and potential direction to staff regarding the first quarter update on Reno Police Department operations in the Downtown Police Special Assessment District. 2:47 PM

COUNCIL MEMBER BREKHUS ABSENT AT 2:47 P.M.

Tom Robinson, Deputy Chief of Police, presented the update.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREKHUS PRESENT AT 2:54 P.M.

Council Member Schieve and Deputy Chief Robinson discussed the location of the fire station closest to the expanded Special Assessment District.

Mayor Cashell noted the success of the Police Department's bicycle patrols.

Alex Woodley, Downtown Revitalization Manager, said that staff would be holding public meetings with business owners in the expanded areas to inform them of the new downtown maintenance standards and help create a dialog between property owners and the Police Department.

Council Member Brekhus and Deputy Chief Robinson discussed the possibility of increasing the number of foot patrols.

Council Member Schieve and Deputy Chief Robinson discussed the increase in call volume during special events.

NO ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THIS ITEM.

RESULT: NO ACTION TAKEN

J.4 Update, discussion and potential direction to staff regarding direction from the Building Enterprise Fund Advisory Committee relating to fire inspection revenue alignment between the Building Enterprise Fund and the General Fund. 3:02 PM

Bill Thomas, Assistant City Manager, said that this item is to provide an update on conversations held yesterday with the Building Enterprise Fund Advisory Committee (BEFAC) as a follow up to the May 7, 2014, discussion regarding the budget and the discussion related to adjusting the Building Enterprise Fund to account for transferring some costs from the General Fund to the Building Enterprise Fund. The best way to characterize our conclusions from yesterday's meeting, he said, is that the Committee was probably most upset with the process

in terms of how they found out about the issue, and that it was different from much of what we have been doing in the past in terms of advising them of activities and charges related to the Building Enterprise Fund. Mr. Thomas said that the BEFAC does understand that the loss of the SAFER (Staffing for Adequate Fire and Emergency Response) Grant was the precipitating event that required this to happen in the way that it did, and the overwhelming theme of the discussion was that a very good vetting process had been created whereby the City engages with the Committee (as the industry) to talk about what the City is going to be doing, and the need to ensure that the process is maintained as we move forward.

Assistant City Manager Thomas said that the second thing the BEFAC was concerned about was transparency and understanding exactly what was going on in terms of how this money was going to be spent. We did explain to them, he said, that this is not about taking money from the Building Enterprise Fund to pay for firefighters, and this is about adjusting the charges for a service provided by the Fire Department and charging it back in a way that is more rational in terms of what the actual services are. Mr. Thomas said that staff agreed that the City would bring back to the BEFAC over the next year quarterly reports as they move towards this new way of accounting for these costs, and explain to them what were the charges and what were the services so they can better understand what exactly they are paying for when they pay their building fees, and what exactly they are getting in terms of services.

At the end of the day, Mr. Thomas said, I think we all agreed that the most important thing from the industry's standpoint is that the City meets its performance objectives, which really is about how quickly the City responds to their needs for building permits and inspections.

Teresa DiLoreto, 2660 Weymoor Court, President of the Builders Association of Northern Nevada, discussed the Builders Association and building community's concerns about the discussion that took place at the last budget workshop regarding the transfer of funds from the Building Enterprise Fund to the Reno Fire Department. She said that another concern was the proposal to increase indirect cost accounts by 100% in some cases without detailed documentation to support the increase in indirect costs. Such increases and transfers of monies from the Enterprise Fund should not be taken lightly or without proper detailing in budget line items, Ms. DiLoreto said, and the building community takes very seriously the responsibility to pay for proper services utilized by the development community.

Council Member Zadra and Ms. DiLoreto discussed whether the industry was comfortable with the process moving forward, and agreed that communications

should continue. Ms. DiLoreto said that it would be beneficial to have more information regarding how the indirect cost allocations were determined, why such an enormous shift should be absorbed by the Enterprise Fund, and how it applies to the expenses being incurred in the building industry.

Council Member Dortch and Mr. Thomas agreed that the BEFAC should receive further clarification and verification regarding the indirect costs allocation plan.

City Manager Clinger said that the City is counting on having the indirect costs in the Budget. He said that the Enterprise Fund is taking a significant hit on this, and staff could and should have done a better job of communicating with the BEFAC in the development of the indirect cost allocation plan. It was presented to them for the first time yesterday, he said, and I understand their angst with the plan that was presented. Mr. Clinger said that the allocation plan the City is currently using was not properly put together, and explained how the plan represents a reduction of \$900,000 in indirect costs to next year's General Fund. While the Enterprise Fund allocation has gone up, he said, there are other funds that have gone down, and we are not taking additional funds from the Enterprise Fund for the benefit of the General Fund; in fact, the General Fund is actually losing \$900,000 in this reformulated indirect cost plan.

Mr. Chisel reiterated that staff will work with a subcommittee of the BEFAC to explain the indirect costs plan and how it is operating. He clarified that, in the past, the Enterprise Fund was not self-sustaining, and reductions in the indirect costs had been implemented to make it a self-sustaining fund. Those increases you are seeing, he said, are partly a change in the indirect cost method, and partly the elimination of that subsidy.

Council Member Dortch asked how it would impact the General Fund if there is disagreement as staff walks the BEFAC through the allocation process.

Mr. Chisel said that disagreements might result in having to change and augment the budget in some way to make those adjustments.

Council Member Dortch asked what the dollar increase in indirect costs will be, and Mr. Chisel replied that last year the indirect costs were approximately \$370,000, and this year they are proposed to be \$880,000 (i.e., a \$500,000 increase in indirect costs to the BEFAC).

Council Member Brekhus and Mr. Chisel discussed general accounting principles with respect to indirect costs, and Mr. Chisel said that it is a common approach for a corporation to charge indirect costs for the head office down to the headquarters and then down to the profit centers. He said that it is at the Council's

discretion to authorize transfers from the General Fund to provide subsidies of other funds, and in fact General Fund transfers have in the past been made when some of the funds were having trouble.

Council Member Dortch and Mr. Chisel discussed a recent review by an outside agency regarding the City's methodology for allocating indirect costs. Mr. Chisel said that the City's methodology was deemed appropriate by that agency.

City Manager Clinger said that indirect cost allocations have to be consistently applied, the process of going through the allocation methodology with the BEFAC should only be seen as an educational process, and it would not be appropriate to change it should the BEFAC disagree with the allocation methodology.

Council Member Zadra asked if cost reallocations were being made across the board, or just as they relate to the Enterprise Fund.

City Manager Clinger replied that this is a re-do of the indirect cost allocation, and there is a \$514,000 increase in the Enterprise Fund, and a \$487,000 decrease to the Risk Management Fund. There are winners and losers in this reallocation, he said, partly because we have in the past artificially changed the allocation by subsidizing funds that could not afford to pay. Mr. Clinger explained that Street Fund went down \$247,000, the Sewer Fund down \$121,000, and the Fleet Fund down \$253,000. In other words, he said, there are other funds that are seeing a decrease while this fund is seeing an increase.

Council Member Zadra and City Manager Clinger discussed overhead cost allocation issues. Mr. Clinger explained that the Building Enterprise Fund should have been paying more in the past. He said that if one took the methodology that we are using for this year and applied it retroactively, the \$370,000 they paid last year would be much closer to the \$884,000 they will be paying this year. We have been subsidizing the Building Enterprise Fund from the standpoint of not charging them for all of the indirect costs, he said, the General Fund essentially is paying for those services to that fund, and this corrects that moving forward. Mr. Clinger stated that indirect cost allocations have to be consistent and fair, and that is what this does.

Council Member Brekhus asked if the City has an indirect cost allocation policy and if it has recently been revised, and Mr. Chisel replied that the policy for indirect costs is a Finance Department policy (i.e., it is not a Council policy).

Mayor Cashell stated that there will be better communication with the BEFAC in the future.

The Council accepted the report.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Oscar Delgado, Council Member

SECONDER: Neoma Jardon, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

K City Clerk

K.1 Boards and Commissions Appointments

a. Downtown Police Special Assessment District Committee (For Possible Action)

Darrell Clifton was reappointed to serve as a representative of the Downtown Security Directors on the Downtown Police Special Assessment District (SAD) Committee.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Jenny Brekhus, Council Member

SECONDER: Neoma Jardon, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

b. Downtown Maintenance District Advisory Committee (For Possible Action)

Cindy Carano, Jim Gallaway, Nancy King, Tim Tretton and Walter Gawronski were reappointed to the Downtown Maintenance District Advisory Committee.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Jenny Brekhus, Council Member
SECONDER: Neoma Jardon, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

L Mayor and Council

L.1 Identification of Mayor and Council Items for future agendas of the Reno City Council. 3:28 PM

Council Member Jardon requested a discussion and potential direction to staff regarding the use of the City Plaza (Ice Rink) during the evenings during the summer months.

Council Member Schieve requested a Reno Business Direct status report in the form of a memorandum.

Council Member Brekhus reiterated her request for information regarding drive-through (drive-thru) restaurants.

L.2 Liaison Reports

NO ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THIS ITEM.

L.3 Reports from any conferences or professional meetings. 3:31 PM

NO ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THIS ITEM.

L.4 Discussion and potential direction to the City Manager to utilize two or more hours of staff time to prepare an update on the Kietzke Lane Safety Management Plan. [Brekhus] 3:31 PM

Mayor Cashell said he thought this work was being done by the State Highway Department.

Council Member Brekhus said that what she wanted was a status report from the Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT).

NO ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THIS ITEM.

RESULT: NO ACTION TAKEN

L.5 Discussion and potential direction to the City Manager to arrange for a representative from the Reno Aces Baseball organization to present updates to City Council on a quarterly basis. [Jardon] 3:31 PM

Council Member Jardon said that an annual report would be sufficient, perhaps twice a year if the ice rink moved over to the baseball stadium grounds.

NO ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THIS ITEM.

RESULT: NO ACTION TAKEN

L.6 Discussion and potential direction to the City Manager to utilize two or more hours of staff time to prepare an update presentation to the City Council regarding the Principles of Agreement for revisions to the Regional Emergency Medical Services Authority (REMSA) Franchise Agreement approved by the City Council on February 10, 2014. The presentation should include the status of the pending revisions to the REMSA Franchise Agreement, the potential operational and financial impacts of those pending revisions and options to revise the Principles of Agreement, so as to include items such as charging a franchise fee, contract length and operational issues including dispatch and transport. [Schieve] 3:32 PM

Council Member Schieve requested that this item be withdrawn from the agenda.

RESULT: WITHDRAWN

M Public Hearings - 6:00 PM - None.

N Public Comment

NO ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THIS ITEM.

O Adjournment (For Possible Action)

THE MEETING WAS ADJOURNED AT 3:33 P.M.